

GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATIONS IN THE CHUKCHI SEA,  
1984, NOAA SHIP SURVEYOR CRUISE

by

R. L. Phillips  
P. Barnes  
R. E. Hunter  
D. Rea r i c  
T. Re i s s  
E. Kempema  
J. Chi n  
I. S. Graves  
T. Scott

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures . . . . .	365
List of Tables . . . . .	371
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	373
METHODS . . . . .	373
BATHYMETRY . . . . .	380
CURRENTS . . . . .	380
ICE REGIME . . . . .	383
HOLOCENE -Q QUATERNARY SEDIMENT . . . . .	383
CHANNEL-FILL DEPOSITS (age unknown) . . . . .	391
<b>SURFICIAL SEDIMENTS</b> . . . . .	405
Outer Shelf . . . . .	405
Gravel . . . . .	405
Discussion . . . . .	414
Mud . . . . .	418
Inner Shelf . . . . .	418
Outer Sand Facies . . . . .	421
Outer Gravel Facies . . . . .	421
Coastal Current Sand Facies . . . . .	426
Inner Gravel Facies . . . . .	432
Discussion . . . . .	436
<b>BENTHIC MAMMAL FEEDING AREAS</b> . . . . .	439
ICE GOUGING . . . . .	443
Terminology . . . . .	445
Results . . . . .	445
Discussion . . . . .	447
CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	450
REFERENCES . . . . .	452
APPENDICES . . . . .	455
Appendix A: 1984 Chukchi Sea Samples . . . . .	457
Appendix B: 1981-1982 Chukchi Sea Samples . . . . .	458
Appendix C: Biological Composition of Inner & Outer Shelf Samples . . . . .	459
Appendix D: Box Core Stations 32-33 in Relation to High- Resolution Seismic Profiles . . . . .	460

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Location of study area in the northeastern Chukchi Sea.
- Figure 2. **Approximate location of pack ice boundary at the start of the Chukchi Sea study, 1984.**
- Figure 3. Track lines obtained in the outer part of the study area.
- Figure 4. Track lines obtained in the northeastern part of the **Chukchi** Sea from Icy Cape to north of Point Franklin.
- Figure 5. Sediment sample locations in the outer part of the study area.
- Figure 6. Sediment samples obtained in the northeast **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 7. Chukchi Sea bathymetry. Data modified from Hill and others, 1984.
- Figure 8. Current trends within the **Chukchi** Sea. The Alaska Coastal Current parallels the coastal region with clockwise rotating currents developing nearshore behind the capes. Current data modified from Coachman and others, 1975.
- "Figure 9. Ice **zonation** in the northeastern Chukchi Sea March-April, 1983. The ice field boundaries were obtained from 1983 satellite photos. The maximum extent and development of shore-fast ice occurs during this time period. Northeast-directed storms from the Chukchi **Polynya** separating the fast ice from the offshore pack ice. The large pack ice blocks in the Chukchi **Polynya** were moving to the south during this period of observation.
- Figure 10. **Isopachs of Holocene-Quaternary** sediment near Cape Lisburne.
- Figure 11. Seismic profiles near Cape **Lisburne**. A) An upper transparent (sand?) unit overlies slightly inclined strata. B) A thin, less than 2 m thick, sediment cover overlies dipping bedrock.
- Figure 12. Seismic profiles north of Cape **Lisburne**. C) A thin sediment cover overlies bedrock west of Cape Beaufort. D) The upper seismic unit containing horizontal strata is approximately 4 m thick and may represent sediment deposited by the northward flowing currents.

- Figure 13. Seismic profile north of Cape **Lisburne**. Both ' profiles (E and F) show thin sediment cover over inclined bedrock which is typical for the southern **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 14. **Isopachs**, in meters, of **Holocene-Quaternary** sediment in the northeast **Chukchi** Sea. The nearshore **isopachs** are from Phillips and Reiss, 1984.
- Figure 15. Modern channel trends in the head of the Barrow Sea Valley.
- Figure 16. Seismic profile on the west side of the Barrow Sea Valley showing **fluvial** channel deposits overlying gently inclined bedrock.
- Figure 17. B) Seismic profile near the center of the Barrow Sea **Valley** showing eroded Quaternary deposits overlying gently inclined strata. C) Bottom profile in the Barrow Sea Valley showing either eroded or slumped deposits overlying bedrock (water depth 68.5 m).
- Figure 18. Modern channels at the head of Barrow Sea Valley. D) **Seismic profile** showing channel incised into Quaternary deposits which overlie inclined bedrock. E) Bottom profile of channel.
- Figure 19. A) A thin sediment cover, locally containing gravel, overlies inclined bedrock on the outer shelf. B) An angular unconformity separates a lower folded and faulted bedrock sequence from an upper gently inclined (but also faulted) bedrock sequence. A thin sediment cover overlies the bedrock.
- Figure 20.** Holocene-Quaternary sediment thickness, channel trends and channel-fill 'deposits on the outer part of the **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 21.** Major seismic units and interpretative **depositional** environments for channel-fill deposits, northwest **Chukchi** Sea. Unit D rests on an erosional surface cut to 63 m into bedrock (116 m below present sea level); Unit C drapes an irregular erosional surface developed on Unit D and represents a **probable** marine **depositional** sequence; **Unit B contains** abundant **channels** but may also include **estuarine** to deltaic to terrestrial depositional environments; and Unit A contains **fluvial** to probable marine environments and would contain Holocene transgressive deposits.
- Figure 22.** Channel-fill sequence. containing 3 seismic units consisting of Unit D **fluvial** deposits, Unit C draped deposits (marine?) and Unit A deposits which consist of a **fluvial** to marine sequence.

- Figure 23. Channel-fill deposits within a large channel system, northwest **Chukchi** Sea. Four seismic units separated by erosional surfaces are identified and consist of a basal **fluvial** sequence (Unit D), a well-bedded draped sequence of probable marine origin (Unit C), a **fluvial-dominated** sequence (Unit B), and the uppermost sequence (Unit A) consisting of **fluvial** to marine beds.
- Figure 24. Channel-fill deposits within a large channel system containing a thick basal sequence of **fluvial** deposits (Unit D), a draped marine sequence (Unit C), a thick **fluvial** sequence (Unit B), and a thin **fluvial-marine** sequence (Unit A).
- Figure 25. Channel-fill deposits recording a thin and discontinuous basal **fluvial** sequence (Unit D), a thick draped marine sequence (Unit C), a poorly defined **fluvial** sequence (Unit B), and a relatively thick **fluvial-marine** sequence (Unit A).
- Figure 26. Phi diagram showing box core textural composition in percent for the outer shelf samples in the **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 27. **Surficial** gravel deposits on the southeast **Chukchi** Sea determined from samples and side-scan sonar records.
- Figure 28. **Surficial** gravel deposits on the central **Chukchi** Sea. The distribution of gravel determined from monographs and box coring.
- Figure 29. Continuation of line 25 (Figure 28) showing the **surficial** gravel deposits identified on monographs in the outer **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 30. Gravel size analyses of coarse-grained fractions of box cores, outer **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 31. Gravel deposits northwest of Cape **Lisburne**, **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 32. **Gravel bedforms** west of Cape **Lisburne**. A) Northward-trending gravel ribbons at 42 m depth cut by ice gouges. B) Gravel sheet and ribbons at 38 m depth cut by ice gouges. C) Gravel sheet deposit at 34 m depth cut by ice gouges.
- Figure 33. Gravel **bedforms** on the outer shelf, **Chukchi** Sea. A) Gravel waves at 45.5 m depth migrating to the west. B) Gravel **bedforms** (?) at 43.5 m depth on flank of a gravel sheet. C) Gravel **bedforms** at 49 m depth.

- Figure 34.** Radiographs of outer shelf box cores containing gravel. A) Core 15 (44.4 m) contains abundant **gravel** throughout core as well as burrows. B) Core 17 (43.2 m) shows both shells and gravel mixed in a mud substrate. C) Core 38 (45.7 m) contains bioturbated mud with gravel as a **surficial lag** and in burrows.
- Figure 35. Ice gouge and gravel **bedform** trends (gravel ribbons and symmetrical gravel wave crest trends) off Cape Lisburne, Chukchi Sea.
- Figure 36. Radiographs of outer shelf box cores. A) Core 36 (53.5 m), B) core 16 (49.5 m), C) core 14 (44.8 m); all cores show **bioturbated** to disrupted strata, burrows, poorly defined bedding, shells, and scattered pebbles in sandy mud to muddy sand matrix.
- Figure 37.** Radiographs of outer shelf box cores. A) Core 37 (46.0 m), B) core 32 (41.2 m); both cores consist of **bioturbated** mud with scattered pebbles and shells.
- Figure 38. Sediment components from channel samples of box cores in the northeast part of the **Chukchi** Sea.
- Figure 39. **Major surficial sediment facies observed** in the northeast part of the **Chukchi** Sea. The three outer **facies** contain distinctive fauna dominated by bivalves and gastropod in the Outer Sand; barnacles, bryzoans and **brachiopods** in the Outer Gravel; and echinoids in the Coastal Current Sand. The greatest **faunal** abundance and diversity occurs in the Outer Gravel **facies**.
- Figure 40. Outer Sand **facies** box cores. A) Radiograph of core 11 (42.5) containing **scattered gravel and shells** as well as abundant **bioturbation**. B) Radiograph of core 22 (42.1 m) containing abundant gravel with crude bedding. C) Photo of core 10 (42.9 m) which contains **bioturbated** sand with a few scattered pebbles. D) Photo of core 21 (47.7 m) containing a shell lag, scattered pebbles and abundant **bioturbation**.
- Figure 41. **Faunal** composition of inner shelf box cores. Includes only material from within the core and not the living fauna on the box core surface.
- Figure 42. A) Transition between the Outer Gravel **facies** (right side of **sonograph**) and sandwaves in the Coastal Current Sand **facies** directly west of **Wainwright**. The depth is 28.5 m. B) Outer Gravel **facies** west of Point Franklin.

- Figure 43. Outer Gravel **facies** box core 30 (40.2 m). A) Photograph of core top which contains scattered pebbles and cobbles as well as branching **bryzoans**. Tunicates cover some of the cobbles here. B) Peel of core showing the upper erosional gravel-shell lag deposit and scattered gravel in the **lower part of the core**.
- Figure 44. Outer Gravel **facies** box core 25 (40.2 m). A) Photograph of top of core showing the **surficial** gravel lag and biology. Sponges, **bryzoans**, urchins, and barnacles are common. B) Core peel showing the upper gravel-shell lag and bioturbated sediment. Most **biogenic** fragments in core are barnacles. The box core is 31 cm in length; the peel is 19 cm wide.
- Figure 45. Outer Gravel **facies** box core 31 (42.0 m) A) Photograph of top of core showing the surface gravel-shell lag with a large worm on the right side of core. B) Core peel showing the gravel-shell lag and **bioturbated** sediments. C) X-ray of core peel showing the gravel distribution and crude bedding.
- Figure 46. Outer Gravel **facies**. A) Core 2 (45.3 m) peel showing bioturbated sediment and upper gravel-shell lag. B) X-ray of core peel showing the **surficial** gravel concentration. C) Core 24 (36.6 m) peel contains scattered shells and cobbles. D) Core 1 (43.5 m) with a **surficial** gravel-shell lag.
- Figure 47. Coastal Current Sand **facies** containing sandwaves at 28 m depth on northwest part of Blossom Shoals off Icy Cape. The sandwaves range up to 0.9 m in height. B) Straight-crested sandwaves at 23 m depth west of **Wainright**.
- Figure 48. Coastal Current Sand **facies** containing northward migrating sandwaves at 28 to 30 m depth off Point Franklin.
- Figure 49. Coastal Current Sand **facies**. A) Core 29 (35.0 m) taken near the seaward boundary with the Outer Gravel **facies**. The peel shows a **surficial** gravel-shell lag **overlying** bioturbated sand. B) Core 7 (28.7 m) core peel contains **bioturbated** sand with scattered shells and **echinoid** fragments. C) Core 8 (24.6 m) photograph of top of core showing the distribution and abundance of **echinoids**. D) Peel of core 8 which contains bioturbated sand with scattered echinoid and shell fragments.
- Figure 50. Inner Gravel **facies** box core 5 (18.3 m). X-ray of core peel showing **bioturbated** gravel.

- Figure 51. **Inner** shelf bottom current features. A) Linear furrows at depths of 69 m are erosional **bedforms** cut into mud. B) Northeast-directed sediment "streaming" from ice gouges indicate bottom current direction in regions where sandwaves are not observed on **monographs**.
- Figure 52. **Major location** of gray whale feed traces along the eastern boundary of the Alaska Coastal Current.
- Figure 53. Gray whale feeding traces west of **Wainwright, Chukchi** Sea. A) Founded and elliptical to current-modified feeding traces. B) Current-modified whale feeding traces at 24 m depth.
- Figure 54. A) Gray whale feeding traces within an ice gouge off **Wainwright**. B) Round to elongate scattered feeding traces in coarse sand and pebbles west of Point Franklin.
- Figure 55. A) Scattered feeding traces within an area dominated by the Alaska Coastal Current south of Icy Cape. B) Outer shelf feeding traces of unknown **O r i g i n** (possibly walrus) at depth of 48 m.
- Figure 56. Rose diagram of ice **gougeorientations** in the northeast **Chukchi** Sea. H) Ice gouge trends on the east side of the Barrow Sea Valley. I) Ice gouge trends on the west side of the Barrow Sea Valley.

#### Appendix D Figures:

- Figure 1. High-resolution seismic profile on line 44 where box core 32 was obtained. See **Fig.3** for **trackline** location and Figure 5 for box core location. Bedrock consists of parallel and gently inclined strata cut by a **fluvial** channel deposit. Box core 32 taken where seismic record ends on right.
- Figure 2** . High-resolution seismic profile on line 44 where box core 33 was obtained. Parallel reflectors are present only in the upper part of section. The lower acoustic "turbid" zone may be due to gas-charged sediment as high values of methane were found in box core 33. Box core 33 taken where seismic record ends on right.

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Maximum ice gouge incision depth vs. water depth for the northwest **Chukchi** Sea. Numbers in boxes represent number of gouges in class. Data from lines 25, 26, 27, 44, 45 and 46 (see Figure 3 for track line locations). **Most of the ice gouge incisions are shallow, 0.3** m or less below the sea floor; however, the shallow gouges may represent "older" gouges that are filling with sediment. The ice gouge abundance also decreases with increasing depth with most of the gouges found between 38 to 44 m on gravel-covered bathymetric highs.
- Table 2. Maximum ice gouge incision depths vs. water depth for the Barrow Sea Valley region. Numbers in boxes represent number of gouges in class. **Data** obtained from **tracklines** in Figure 4. The maximum ice gouge incision depth is 2.9 m at 45 m water depth near the center of the Barrow Sea Valley. Most of the ice gouges are shallow, 0.3 **or less** in depth **below** the sea floor. Multiple ice gouge incisions are common to 32-34 m water depth; at deeper depths the ice gouges are usually solitary events.

## INTRODUCTION

Geologic processes on high latitude shelves are poorly understood because of the harsh environment, sea ice, and the limited number of previous studies. Studies of the high latitude shelf environment of the Chukchi Sea were initiated to provide the Government and the public with adequate knowledge to safely lease offshore lands. This report presents preliminary findings from a study of the geologic environment of the Chukchi Sea from Point Hope north to 71° 38' on the western part of the shelf and to the vicinity of Point Franklin on the eastern shelf (figure 1).

Data were gathered from the NOAA ship SURVEYOR from August 26 to September 17, 1984. Pack ice conditions limited investigations north of 71 degrees in the eastern part of the study area. The pack ice front was approximately 5 to 7 miles northwest of Point Franklin when studies were started (figure 2). During the latter part of the season investigations were conducted north to 71° 38' in the western part of the Chukchi Sea.

High-resolution seismic profiles, bathymetry and side-scan sonar surveys were collected along approximately 2440 km of trackline (figures 3 and 4). Forty box cores, 4 dredge samples and 2 gravity cores were also obtained (figures 5 and 6). Bottom drifters were deployed at 8 sites. During part of the study bad weather and ice hampered our research. Short period high northeast storm waves seriously interfered with the seismic and side-scanning sonar records and caused the termination of some lines on the outer and inner shelf. However, during periods of high wind and waves the nearshore areas protected by the capes allowed us to continue our investigations.

The objectives of the scientific program include the following: A) determination of the location, density and depth of modern sea floor ice gouging, B) Observe recent changes in bathymetry and sea bed morphology and determine their origins, C) Obtain seismic profiles of the modern sediment cover and of bedrock to determine the history of ice gouging, and D) identify potential sand and gravel resources.

## METHODS

On the cruise we obtained analog recordings of side-scan sonar, 3.5 khz sub-bottom profiler, high resolution seismic system, and 12 khz and 3.5 khz bathymetry. A Kline Associates 100 khz and 500 khz side-scan fish towed approximately fifteen meters above the sea-bed was used as the source for the sea-bed




Figure 1. Location of study area in the northeastern Chukchi Sea.




Figure 2. Approximate location of pack ice boundary at the start of the Chukchi Sea study, 1984.




Figure 3. Track lines obtained in the outer part of the study area. See figure 4 for track line numbers in the nearshore region.




Figure 4 . Track lines obtained in the northeastern part of the Chukchi Sea from Icy Cape to north of Point Franklin.




Figure 5. Sediment sample locations in the outer part of the study area. See figure 6 for sample numbers in the nearshore region.




Figure 6. Sediment samples obtained in the northeast Chukchi Sea.

sonar data. The side-scanning fish also contains a 500 khz **microprofiler** and a 3.5 khz sub-bottom profiler for viewing expanded sea-floor relief and shallow sub-bottom **stratigraphy**. Under optimum conditions subsurface reflections were obtained to depths of 15 meters below the sea-floor.

An ORE **geopulse** sub-bottom profiler was used at 100, 105, and 175 joules of power with the high resolution seismic signal pickup with a single channel, 25 element hydrophore. Under optimum conditions sub-bottom penetrations were obtained to 150 meters. Bathymetry was recorded with a hull-mounted transducer source.

The sea floor was sampled with a 60x31x22 cm box core, a 3 meter gravity core, and a pipe dredge. Recoveries of up to 56 cm were achieved with the box core.

Navigation for **tracklines** and sample sites consisted of **Satelite** Navigation, using "a Magnavox Omega system, a Del Norte UHF transponder system and deduced reckoning.

### BATHYMETRY

Much of the **Chukchi** Sea floor is relatively flat and shallow with depths averaging between 40 and 50 m. Locally, enclosed depressions and scattered highs contribute as much as 5 m of relief. Two areas contain bathymetric highs; the nearshore area off **Icy Cape**, Blossom Shoals, where migrating sand banks rise to within 10 m of the sea surface, and on the northern part of the shelf, Hanna Shoal which rises to within 25 m of the sea surface (Hill and others, 1984). Along the northeast part of the **Chukchi** Sea the Barrow sea valley forms a major **erosional incision** into the shelf starting west of Point Franklin and trending northeast parallel to shore. The sea floor rapidly drops to over 100 m depth within the sea valley (Hill and others, 1984). On the outer shelf, north of **Hanna** Shoal and Bank, the sea floor slopes north toward the **shelf** break where at approximately 60 m depth the shelf slope rapidly increases. Local **gulleys** and larger erosional features dissect the outer shelf edge (figure 7).

### CURRENTS

Surface wind-generated currents, the shore-parallel Alaska Coastal Current and shelf currents erode and transport sediment modifying the sea floor of the **Chukchi** Sea. The nearshore currents are generated mostly by **winds**, whereas, the offshore **region** is dominated by northeast- and southwest-directed storm currents and by the northeastward flowing Alaska Coastal Current (figure 8).

During the summer months, storms from the southwest commonly move across the **Chukchi** Sea. Their maximum fetch then develops across the open water (**Wiseman** and Rouse, 1980). The resulting storm waves and storm-generated currents erode and scour the sea




Figure 7. **Chukchi Sea bathymetry.** Data modified from Hill and others, 1984. Contours in meters.




Figure 8. Current trends within the Chukchi Sea. The Alaska Coastal Current parallels the coastal region with clockwise rotating currents developing nearshore behind the capes. Current data modified from Coachman and others, 1975.

floor and transport sediment on the shelf and shoal regions. The storm-generated currents probably reinforce the normal shelf currents resulting in periods of **bedform** migration and sediment transport.

The Alaska Coastal Current represents a northeastward flowing "warm" water mass derived from the Bering Sea (Paquette and **Bourke**, 1972). The northward flowing Bering Sea water bifurcates **near** Point Hope, one part flowing to the northwest around the south side of Herald Shoal and the other forming the Alaska Coastal Current which flows to the northeast parallel to the Alaska Coast (figure 8). The Alaska Coastal Current, which can be as narrow as 37 km, approaches the coast near **Wainwright** and Barrow. Surface velocities of up to 200 **cm/sec.** are reported for the Alaska Coastal Current southwest of Point **Franklin** (**Hufford**, 1977). Southward flowing clockwise **gyres develop** east of the Alaska Coastal Current north of the major **promontories** off Cape **Lisburne**, Icy Cape and Point Franklin (Fleming and **Heggarty**, 1966, **Sharma**, 1979, **Lewbel** and **Gallaway**, 1984). West of the Alaska Coastal Current off Point Franklin on the west side of the Barrow Sea Valley a southwest-directed current is reported with surface velocities of up to 80 **cm/sec** (**Hufford**, 1977). The southwest flowing currents are poorly defined in space and time. Southeast-directed bottom currents are also identified on the western part of the outer shelf (Coachman and others, 1975). Large clockwise rotating spiral currents are also reported northwest of Barrow and may represent interaction between the Alaska Coastal Current and the westward flowing current of the Beaufort Gyre (**Solomon** and **Ahlmas**, 1980).

#### ICE REGIME

The ice covers the Chukchi Sea for 8 to 10 months every year with 2 to 4 months of open-water during the summer-fall season. During September to early October the Arctic pack ice usually reaches its maximum northern retreat, near 72 to 73 degrees. The pack ice then advances to the south, and by January the entire Chukchi Sea is ice covered (**Grantz** and others, 1982). Nearshore, fast ice forms and reaches its maximum development in March and April (figure 9). Storms and winds from the northeast will move the pack ice to the west resulting in the formation of the persistent **Chukchi Polynya** usually by January (Stringer, 1982). However, the overall pack ice movement west of **the Chukchi Polynya** is to the west and northwest (Stringer, 1978, 1982, **Lewbel**, 1984) except for short periods during ice breakout when ice movement is to the south through the **Bering Straits**.

#### Holocene- Quaternary Sediment

Over much of the **Chukchi** Sea shelf a thin blanket of **Holocene-Quaternary** sediment overlies inclined and folded bedrock. The **surficial** sediment cover ranges in thickness from less than 1 m to over 12 m (**Creager** and **McManus**, 1967, Moore, 1964, **Grantz** and others, 1982). Thicker accumulations of




Figure 9. Ice zonation in the northeastern Chukchi Sea March-April, 1983. The ice field boundaries obtained from 1983 satellite photos. The maximum extent and development of shore-fast ice occurs during this time period. Northeast-directed storms form the Chukchi Polynya, separating the fast ice from the offshore pack ice. The large pack ice blocks in the Chukchi Polynya were moving to the south during this period of observation.

Quaternary sediment are reported in channel-fill deposits of paleovalleys that were cut into the shelf during Pleistocene sea level lowstands (Grantz and others, 1982).

High resolution seismic profiles obtained during this study show that: 1) a thin sediment cover, generally less than 4 m thick, overlies folded bedrock over much of the Chukchi Sea; 2) local blanket sediment accumulations, greater than 6 m thick are limited and are only identified on the shelf southwest of Cape Lisburne (14 m sediment thickness), and at the head of Barrow Sea Valley northwest of Point Franklin (over 14 m of Quaternary sediment); and 3) in the northwest part of the Chukchi Sea a series of paleochannels cut into bedrock contain up to 64 m of channel-fill sediment. The age of the channel-fill deposits is uncertain.

In the southern part of the study area, south of 70 degrees N, bedrock is overlain by a thin blanket sediment deposit. Local accumulations of up to 14 m of sediment are found directly west of Cape Lisburne at depths of 32 m (figure 10). Horizontal and parallel almost transparent reflectors overly gently inclined strata under the thick sediment accumulation (figure 10a). This sediment deposit thins toward shore near Cape Lisburne. To the north of Cape Lisburne a wide band of sediment approximately 4 m to 5 m thick trends to the northeast (figure 10). Landward, toward Cape Beaufort, the sediment cover rapidly thins to 1 to 2 m as the water depth shallows to 20 m (figures 11b, 12c). To the northwest of the northeast trending sediment band the Holocene-Quaternary deposits are thin, varying from 1 to 3 m in thickness (figure 10).

The northeast trending sediment band continues toward Icy Cape. Internally the sediment contains horizontal and parallel reflectors overlying inclined strata (figures 12d, 13a, 13b). This sediment band may have formed by sediment transportation and deposition by the northward flowing Alaska Coastal Current in combination with ice grounding and ice push. This sediment band also underlies an area of severe ice ridging (Stringer 1978). To the east in shallow water storm waves have eroded the sea bed removing part of the sediment cover. To the northwest of the sediment band, northeast flowing shelf currents have eroded the surficial sediment leaving a thin sediment cover over bedrock.

Nearshore, north of 70 degrees to Blossom Shoals, the Holocene-Quaternary sediment blanket varies from 2 to 5 m in thickness. Off Icy Cape the outer sand bank contains over 10 m of sediment overlying bedrock (figure 14). Nearshore, between Icy Cape and Point Franklin as well as north of Blossom Shoals, the Holocene-Quaternary sediment cover is also thin varying from less than 2 m to 3 m in thickness.

The thickest Holocene-Quaternary deposits are found at the head of the Barrow Sea Valley west of Point Franklin where the sediment is over 14 m thick. The sediment increases in thickness




Figure 10. Isopachs of Holocene-Quaternary sediment near Cape Lisburne. The letters indicate seismic profile locations. Isopachs are in meters.




Figure 11. Seismic profiles near Cape Lisburne. A). An upper transparent (sand?) unit overlies slightly inclined strata, B). A thin, less than 2 m thick, sediment cover overlies dipping bedrock. See figure 10 for profile locations.




Figure 12. Seismic profiles north of Cape Lisburne. C) A thin sediment cover overlies bedrock west of Cape Beau fort. D). The upper horizontal strata is approximately 4 m thick and may represent sediment deposited by the northward flowing currents. See figure 10 for profile locations.




Figure 13 Seismic profile north of Cape Lisburne. Both profiles (E and F) show thin sediment cover over inclined bedrock which is typical for the southern Chukchi Sea. See figure 10 for location for (E) and figure 27 for location for (F).




Figure 14. Isopachs, in meters, of Holocene-Quaternary sediment in the northeast Chukchi Sea. The nearshore isopachs are from Phillips and Reiss, 1984.

with increasing depth with most deposits greater than 6 m thick below 55 m. The thickest deposits trend to the northeast in linear bands parallel to the slope of the Barrow Sea Valley (figure 14). Within the sea valley modern channels are eroding the Quaternary deposits (figure 15),

On the west flank of the Barrow Sea Valley a series of **paleochannels** contain up to 13 m of sediment fill. The channeled sequence forms most of the Holocene- **Quaternary** sediment cover (figures 15, 16). However, to the east most of the Quaternary deposits within the head of the sea valley rarely contain coherent internal reflectors. The thickest deposits **are found within the deeper parts of the sea valley adjacent to modern erosional channels. The Quaternary sediments are apparently being eroded by an internal drainage systems within the head of the sea valley (figures 17b, 17c). Channels cut through the Quaternary deposits down to bedrock (figures 18d, 18e).**

To the west on the outer shelf the Holocene-Quaternary sediment blanket, which **overlies folded to gently inclined bedrock, is thin varying from less than 1 m up to 5 m in thickness (figures 19a, 19b).**

#### CHANNEL-FILL DEPOSITS (age unknown)

A series of filled **paleochannels** incised into bedrock are identified in the northwest part of the study area starting at approximately 70°30'N and 167°00' west (figure 20). Based on limited **trackline** coverage, the largest channel system trends to the northwest. In water depths ranging from 45 to 50 m the channels are cut to depths of 10 m to over 64 m below the sea bed. The channels range up to 13 km in width. The channels may not represent a specific time event (same age) but represent multiple erosional and depositional events during different sea level **lowerings**. Evidence for different time events producing the channels is preserved in the distinct channel-fill **stratigraphy**, the superposition of stratigraphic units, and erosional contacts of some seismic units.

Four major seismic units containing distinctive **depositional** features are identified within the larger channel systems; 2 to 3 **seismic** units in the shallow channels.

The uppermost depositional sequence, seismic unit A, represents a thin blanket deposit ranging up to 6 m in thickness. This unit overlies all of the channeled and **non-**channeled deposits. Internally horizontal or gently inclined parallel reflectors characterize this sequence. The **lower reflectors may truncate the underlying strata forming an undulatory contact.**




Figure 15. Modern channel trends in the head of the Barrow Sea Valley. The numbers within the channels indicate the maximum water depth in meters; the letters indicate seismic or bottom profile locations.

A




Figure 16. Seismic profile on the west side of the Barrow Sea Valley showing fluvial channel deposits overlying gently inclined bedrock. See figure 15 for profile location.




Figure 17. B). Seismic profile near the center of the Barrow Sea Valley showing eroded Quaternary deposits overlying gently inclined strata. A modern channel has cut through the young sediment down to bedrock. C). Bottom profile in the Barrow Sea Valley showing either eroded or slumped deposits overlying bedrock (water depth 68.5 m). See figure 15 for profile locations.




Figure 18. Modern channels at the head of Barrow Sea Valley. D). Seismic profile showing channel incised into Quaternary deposits which overlie inclined bedrock. E). Bottom profile of channel. See figure 15 for profile locations.




Figure 19. A). A thin sediment cover, locally containing gravel, overlies inclined bedrock on the outer shelf. B). An angular unconformity separates a lower folded and faulted bedrock sequence from an upper gently inclined (but also faulted) bedrock sequence. A thin sediment cover overlies the bedrock. See figure 70 for profile locations.




Figure 20. Holocene-Quaternary sediment thickness, channel trends and channel-fill deposits on the outer part of the Chukchi sea. The maximum depth of channel incision, expressed in meters, determined from seismic profiles.

The underlying sequence, seismic unit B, is also a blanket deposit varying from 14 to 32 m in thickness (averages about 18 m). The thicker depositional sequences represent local deep channels cut into underlying strata. Internally this unit exhibits complex sedimentation patterns. The reflectors can be discontinuous, contain abundant detachment hyperboles, or contain well defined parallel inclined reflectors. Truncation of the reflectors (due to channeling) can be locally common. The lower contact is usually undulatory and erosional.

The underlying sequence, seismic unit C, varies in thickness from 2 to over 28 m. Horizontal and parallel or slightly undulatory and parallel reflectors comprise the seismic section. The reflectors are parallel and continuous throughout the seismic records. The basal reflectors of this sequence are usually draped over an irregular erosional surface, likewise, the reflectors thin but are also draped over channel flanks or other topographic irregularities.

The basal seismic sequence, unit D, is usually discontinuous and poorly preserved. The strata vary in thickness from erosional pinchouts to over 16 m. Abundant hyperboles, locally inclined and parallel reflectors, or small-scale channeling characterizes the basal unit where preserved (figure 21).

The character of the reflectors within the seismic sequences identifies possible depositional environments. The channels record similar fill-stratigraphy even within multi-cyclic erosional-depositional events. Unit A represents fluvial to marine sedimentation and would include Holocene transgressive deposits. Unit B represents fluvial-dominated sedimentation as indicated by the abundant internal channel deposits but this sequence may also include some marine, estuarine, lagoonal and terrestrial facies. Unit C represents deposition of fine-grained sediment within a quiet low energy environment. Marine conditions most likely prevailed during deposition of this sequence, however, bay or estuarine facies may also produce a similar depositional sequence. The basal sequence, unit D, represents mainly fluvial deposition probably related to the period of bedrock erosion when the deep channels were initially cut.

The channel-fill sequences can vary; most channels record an initial channel down-cutting (to 116 m below present sea level) and deposition of fluvial sediments (unit D). After deposition of the fluvial sediments a period of erosion followed. The draped strata (unit C) overlying the fluvial sediments (unit D) represent marine sediment deposition during a transgression (figures 22, 23, 24, 25). The next depositional sequence, unit B, probably contains a variety of environments including fluvial, lagoonal, barrier island to possible marine (figure 24). Within unit B a large channel over 28 m deep (103 m below sea level) has removed both underlying units C and D. The unit B channel is in




Figure 21. Major seismic units and interpretative depositional environments for channel-fill deposits, northwest Chukchi Sea. Unit D rests on an erosional surface cut to 63 m into bedrock (116 m below present sea level); Unit C drapes an irregular erosional surface developed on Unit D and represents a probable marine depositional sequence; Unit B contains abundant channels but may also include estuarine to deltaic to terrestrial depositional environments; and Unit A contains fluvial to probable marine environments and would contain Holocene transgressive deposits.




Figure 22. Channel-fill sequence containing 3 seismic units consisting of Unit D fluvial deposits, Unit C draped deposits (marine ?) and Unit A deposits which consist of a fluvial to marine sequence. See figure 20 for profile location C.

D




Figure 23. Channel-fill deposits within a large channel system, northwest Chukchi Sea. Four seismic units separated by erosional surfaces are identified and consist of a basal fluvial sequence (Unit D), a well-bedded draped sequence of probable marine origin (Unit C), a fluvial-dominated sequence (Unit B), and the uppermost sequence (Unit A) consisting of fluvial to marine beds. See figure 20 for profile location.

E




Figure 24. Channel-fill deposits within a large channel system containing a thick basal sequence of fluvial deposits (Unit D), a draped marine sequence (Unit C), a thick fluvial sequence (Unit B), and a thin fluvial-marine sequence (Unit A). See figure 20 for profile location.




Figure 25. Channel-fill deposits recording a thin and discontinuous basal fluvial sequence (Unit D), a thick draped marine sequence (Unit C), a poorly defined fluvial sequence (Unit B), and a relatively thick fluvial-marine sequence (Unit A). See figure 20 for profile location.

turn filled with parallel draped reflectors. In much of this unit numerous shallow channels characterize the depositional sequences. The upper depositional unit contains only shallow, to 6 m thick, isolated channels suggesting fluvial deposition. Lagoonal, barrier island and marine environments may be found within this sequence.

During sea level lowerings multiple channeling events are proposed. At least 3 periods of sea level lowering and cutting of channels are recognized: 1) channels were initially incised to at least 116 m below present sea level, then filled during a transgressive event (deposition of seismic units D and C); 2) sea level lowering again resulted in channels cut to at least 103 m below present sea level (deposition of seismic unit B); and 3) a third sea level lowering event to at least 92 m below present sea level is recorded in channels lacking deposition of seismic unit B. The time relationship of the channels lacking unit B to the channels containing unit B is unknown.

The age of the channeling events is uncertain but may range from Quaternary to Tertiary. A "young" age is suggested for the channel-fill deposits based on the following: 1) the channels are incised into at least two stratigraphic bedrock units which are separated by an angular unconformity, the gently inclined strata of the North Chukchi Basin which overlies older folded bedrock (figure 19 b); 2) the channels are not folded suggesting the channel-fill is younger than the folding event; 3) some channels appear to be bedrock controlled following the strike of underlying strata which also suggests the channels are younger than the bedrock they are incised into; 4) the channels must be younger than Late Cretaceous age because paleocurrent data and nonmarine channel trends (early to late Cretaceous age Nanushuk Group sandstones in the North Slope) are to the east to northeast (Bird and Andrews, 1979; Molenaar, 1985) over 90 degrees apart from the Chukchi Sea northwest trending paleochannels; 5) the channels are cut to at least 116 m below present sea level suggesting possible Quaternary sea level lowerings (however the channels may be incised to deeper depths to the north beyond the area of our track lines); and 6) some wide channels underly areas now containing sea floor depressions suggesting either compaction of unconsolidated sediment or the melting of permafrost to produce the sea floor depressions (figure 7).

The above evidence suggests a Quaternary to Tertiary age range for the channel deposits. However, the major problem of this "young" age is the identification of the channel's sediment source, because the major southern Holocene drainage pattern in the Chukchi Sea was to the west through the Chukchi Valley (McManus and others, 1983) (the present Hope Sea Valley located east of Wrangel and Herald Islands), and not where those ancient channels are located. Likewise, the Barrow Sea Valley was probably the major Holocene drainage for streams and rivers from the east to at least south to Wainwright and the Kuk River

because **paleochannels** trend north into the sea valley. The present **lack of adequate drainage sources suggest that the outer shelf channels are pre-Holocene in age.**

The **Chukchi** Sea channel deposits may be sources of sand and gravel. However, they may also represent geologic hazards if they contain permafrost or as in some cases where they contain gas (Appendix D). Drilling will be required **to** evaluate the channel deposits for a source of sand and gravel as well as establish the age of formation.

### SURFICIAL SEDIMENTS

Monographs along with bottom sampling can delineate the **Chukchi** Sea's major **surficial** sediment types. The sea floor texture ranges from **sandy mud to muddy sandy gravel. Bedforms, current related sea floor processes, and mammal feeding areas can also be identified from monographs.**

The **surficial** sediment distribution of the southern **Chukchi** Sea is fairly well defined from previous work (**Creager** and **McManus**, 1966, **Barnes**, 1970) and is summarized in **Grantz** and others (1982) and **Lewbel** (1984). This section summarizes new data obtained from box cores, dredges and sea floor monographs specifically of textures and **sedimentologic** processes acting on the sea floor in the **Chukchi** Sea. The study is discussed in two sections, the outer shelf which includes the area from **Cape Lisburne** north, and the inner **shelf** located at the head of **Barrow** Sea Valley.

#### OUTER SHELF

The sediment texture in the outer shelf ranges from mud to gravelly muddy sand. Fifteen **box** cores are texturally classified as: 1) slightly gravelly sandy mud, 7 cores; 2) sandy mud, 4 cores; 3) slightly gravelly muddy sand, 2 cores; and 4) gravelly muddy sand, 2 cores (classification of **Folk**, 1974). The distribution of gravel, sand and mud components of the outer shelf cores show the **areal** variation in the sediment fractions on the shelf. The mud content increases to the north (figure 26, Appendix A).

Monographs in conjunction with sampling defines the sea floor surface textures. **Gravel-** and sand-floored regions are readily identified by the presence of **bedforms**. However, mud appears to be the dominant **surficial** texture followed by slightly gravelly sandy mud on the outer shelf.

#### Gravel

Gravel is abundant in the southern part of the **Chukchi** Sea near **Cape Lisburne** and near **Cape Beaufort** (figure 27). **In** the northern shelf gravel patches are scattered and abundant only south of 71 degrees north (figures 28 and 29).




Figure 26.  $\Phi$  diagram showing box core textural composition in percent for the outer shelf samples in the Chukchi Sea.




Figure 27. Surficial gravel deposits on the southeast Chukchi Sea determined from samples and side-scan sonar records.




Figure 28. Surficial gravel deposits on the central Chukchi Sea. The distribution of gravel determined from monographs and box coring. See figure 3 for track line locations.




Figure 29. Continuation of line 25 (figure 28) showing the surficial gravel deposits identified on monographs in the outer Chukchi Sea. See figure 3 for track line locations.

Size analyses of the gravel fraction of 5 box cores and one dredge sample show that the **gravel** ranges up to 32 mm and falls in the pebble size range. A **unimodal** size distribution is present in 4 samples and a **bimodal** distribution in 2 samples (figure 30). The dominant pebble size mode of 5 of the samples is between 4 and 8 mm. The pebbles are either well-rounded (samples 15 and 17) or contain a population of rounded to angular **clasts** (sample 42). The composition of the pebble fraction varies depending on adjacent bedrock sources and transport distance. Marble and sandstone **clasts** are abundant near Cape **Lisburne**, whereas, well-rounded black siliceous **clasts** dominate in the northwest samples (samples 14, 15, 16). Sand size mica is abundant in the northern most gravels.

**Surficial** concentrations of gravel in the Chukchi Sea, reflects regions of active currents and subsequent sea floor erosion. **In some examples the gravel is restricted to bathymetric highs. The pebbles occur either as extensive sheets ranging up to 45 km in length or as scattered patches separated by sandy mud. In all gravel-rich areas a thin, usually less than 2 to 4 m thick, Holocene-Quaternary sediment cover overlies bedrock which suggests that the gravels represent an erosional lag deposits.**

The **surficial gravel** deposits exhibit a variety of **bedforms**. Widespread gravel sheets some covered with symmetrical gravel waves are the most common sea floor feature. Gravel waves also occur in areas where gravel sheets do not exist. Linear gravel ribbons(?) associated with mud or sand are also a common feature on the flanks of gravel sheet deposits especially near Cape **Lisburne**. The linear gravel ribbons are positive features with relief less than 30 cm, a width ranging from 2 to 4 m, and a spacing of between 5.5 and 6 m (figure 31A). The gravel ribbons usually lie at a slight **angle** to the ice gouge trend. With decreasing depth, abundant ice gouging can be associated with the gravel ribbons (figure **31B**) and at the shallowest depths the gravel ribbons change to gravel sheet deposits. Ice gouging is still common within the gravel sheet deposits (figure **31C**).

Near Cape **Lisburne** besides the linear gravel **bedforms** nearly parallel to the ice gouge trend, transverse gravel waves oriented perpendicular to the ice gouge trend are common (figure **32D**). Shore-parallel **bedforms** are found on the **flanks** of the gravel sheets (figure **32E**). To the west of Cape **Lisburne**, at depths of 45 to 49 m, an extensive gravel sheet exists with scattered sand bed forms overlying the gravel (figure 32 F). These sand bed forms indicate that active currents exist and are capable of moving sand-size particles at depths greater than **40 m**.

**In the northwest part of the outer shelf, gravel** sheets are restricted to areas of thin sediment cover and to local broad bathymetric highs (less than 4m high). These gravel deposits also exhibit a range of **bedform** types similar to those observed near Cape **Lisburne**.




Figure 30. Gravel size analyses of coarse-grained fractions of box cores, outer Chukchi Sea. Sample 42 is a dredge sample. The sample numbers are indicated by circle.




Figure 31. Gravel deposits northwest of Cape Lisburne, Chukchi Sea. A) Northward-trending gravel ribbons at 42 m depth cut by ice gouges. The gravel ribbons are <30 cm high, 2 to 4 m wide and spaced 5.5 to 6 m apart. B) gravel sheet and ribbons at 38 m depth cut by ice gouges. c). Gravel sheet deposit at 34 m depth cut by ice gouges. The transition from A to B to C is typical of gravel deposits in the outer shelf. See figure 35 for sonograph




Figure 32. Gravel bed forms west of Cape Lisburne. D) Shore-normal gravel bed forms at 37.5 m depth (center of sonograph) and ice gouges oriented perpendicular to shore. E) Gravel waves at 39 m depth oriented normal to shore. The wave length is approximately 7 to 8 m. F) Scattered sandwaves on a gravel sheet deposit west of Cape Lisburne. See figure 35 for location of figures D and E and figure 27 for location of figure F.

Gravel ribbons also occur associated with and parallel to ice gouges. Gravel waves, up to 20 to 30 cm high, can be oriented transverse to the ribbons or occur as **distinct** fields (figure 33A). Scattered sand or gravel **bedforms** without a distinct orientation may be formed on the flanks of the bathymetric highs (figure 33B). The gravel sheet deposits can also exhibit a variety of **bedform** types (figure 33C). Most of the **gravel** sheets in the outer shelf contain symmetrical **bedforms** oriented essentially transverse to the adjacent ice gouge trend.

The gravel deposits in the **Chukchi** Sea exhibit a variety of **bedforms** suggesting that active currents exist possibly during storm periods which reinforce the shelf currents. The currents then are capable of moving pebble-size **clasts** on the sea floor.

Box cores show gravel scattered throughout the cores. A slight increase in **gravel content at the top of some cores suggests an erosional lag deposit (figure 34)**. **Bedding is poorly defined within the gravel-rich cores. Vertical and horizontal burrows are also abundant suggesting biological disruption of the substrate.**

The gravel deposits identified in the outer shelf represent erosional storm-lag deposits. The gravels are found either where a thin **Holocene-Quaternary** sediment cover exists, or, especially, on local bathymetric highs. The gravels may represent exposed parts of the Holocene **transgressive** lag deposit which now is being eroded.

The source of the gravel is uncertain, however, prime candidates are bedrock and ice rafting. However, the **well-**rounded siliceous pebbles are similar to the suite present on the beaches north of Icy Cape. This **may** suggest that **fluvial** transport to **the west** occurred across the exposed coastal plain during sea level lowstands.

#### Discussion

The variety of gravel **bedforms** found to depths of 49 m, are the result of a combination of factors including: 1) current erosion and sediment transport by the northward flowing Alaska Coastal Current (along the east side of the Chukchi Sea) and east or west flowing shelf currents (the northwest part of the shelf), and 2) westward flowing storm-generated currents on both the inner and outer shelf regions. Evidence for currents **is** best demonstrated in the area of greatest gravel accumulation off Cape Lisburne. Here, the gravel **ribbons and symmetric gravel bedforms are oriented north-south, essentially parallel to shore (figure 35) and to the northward flowing coastal current.** The dominant ice gouge trend in this same region is **northeast-southwest with a secondary component oriented east-west normal to the gravel ribbons and gravel waves.** Ice can only move to the west, upslope, when storms come from the west. During periods of open water the symmetric shore-parallel gravel waves may be initially






Figure 33. Gravel bed forms on the outer shelf, Chukchi Sea. A) Gravel waves at 45.5 m depth migrating to the west. B) Gravel bedforms (?) at 43.5 m depth on flank of a gravel sheet. The gravel bedforms rise above the sea floor. C) Gravel bedforms at 49 m depth. Scattered gravel bedforms change to gravel sheet deposits with a slight decrease in depth. An expanded view of gravel sheet (right side sonograph) shows symmetrical gravel waves covering the deposit. See figure 28 for profile locations.




Figure 34. Radiographs of outer shelf box cores containing gravel. A) Core 15 (44.4 m) contains abundant gravel throughout core as well as burrows. B) Core 17 (43.2 m) shows both shells and gravel mixed in a mud substrate. C) Core 38 (45.7 m) contains bioturbated mud with gravel as a surficial lag and in burrows. See figure 26 for core locations. The cores are 19 cm wide.




Figure 35. Ice gouge and gravel bedform trends (gravel ribbons and symmetrical gravel wave crest trends) off Cape Lisburne, Chukchi Sea. The gravel bedforms lie at an angle to the ice gouges suggesting a combination of processes produced and maintained the gravel bedforms including storms from the west initially forming the gravel bedforms and the northward flowing Alaska Coastal Current maintaining the bedforms.

produced by storm waves **moving** from the west to the east (allows maximum fetch). The shore-parallel **bedforms** are then further maintained by the northward flowing coastal current. The gravel waves in the northwest part of the study area, likewise, are **oriented** north-south and transverse to the gravel **ribbons** suggesting currents from the east or west produced the **bedforms**. Storms from the west may also have reinforced the shelf currents moving gravel on the sea bed.

The reoccurrence interval of the storm events capable of **moving** pebbles at 49 m depth is unknown, but the presence of gravel **bedforms** on the shelf indicates bottom currents of up to **150 cm/sec** (8 mm quartz **clasts** at 20° C, Miller and others, 1977) do occur periodically.

#### Mud

Sandy mud to slightly gravelly sandy mud is the common texture on the outer shelf. The **sand fraction contains abundant mica**. Internally the box cores record **crude bedding, disrupted strata, abundant bioturbation, scattered pebbles, filled clay lined and oxidized burrows**, as well as **bivalves** and gastropod remains (figures 36 and 37). Gravel is rare in the 3 cores north of 71 degrees and the mud fraction increases to 83 percent (Appendix A).

The biological composition of the outer **shelf** box cores, when compared to the inner shelf cores, show low species diversity and abundance. The **living** organisms found on the surface and within the cores include brittle stars, anemones, crustaceans (shrimp), a variety of **polychaete** worms, and a few **pelcy pods** and gastropod. The shell fraction of all cores only contains pelcypod and gastropod remains. In the upper part of cores 33 and 34 the shells are thin and fragile suggesting dissolution of **calcium** carbonate; where as in the bottom 30 **cm** pelcypods are leached and are identified by molds. Leached shells within 20 cm of the **sea** floor surface suggests the deposits are of considerable age.

In all cores **bioturbation** is abundant. Based on the apparent low abundance of infauna but the presence of intense **bioturbation** suggest that the outer shelf is an area of low sediment input. Biological processes dominate over physical processes on the outer shelf.

#### INNER SHELF

Based on core analyses and grab samples the sediment texture of the inner shelf, at the head of the Barrow Sea Valley west of **Icy** Cape to Point Franklin, ranges from gravelly muddy sand to gravelly sand to sand to muddy sand to sandy mud (classification of Folk, 1974). The distribution of gravel, sand and mud components of the samples show an abundant sand fraction containing gravel and some mud throughout the **inner** shelf (figure

A



B



C



Figure 36. Radiographs of outer shelf box cores. A) Core 36 (53.5 m), B) core 16 (49.5 m), C) core 14 (44.8 m); all cores show bioturbated to disrupted strata, burrows, poorly defined bedding, shells, and scattered pebbles in sandy mud to muddy sand matrix. See figure 26 for core locations. The cores are 19 cm wide.




Figure 37. Radiographs of outer shelf box cores. A) Core 37 (46.0 m), B) core 32 (41.2 m); both cores consist of bioturbated mud with scattered pebbles and shells. See figure 26 for core locations. The cores are 19 cm wide.

38, Appendix A). The highest mud content is found off Point Franklin. Sediment analyses of cores found no readily distinct sediment patterns. However, core **x-rays**, **core peels**, and monographs do reveal distinct **surficial** sediment patterns. The **surficial** textural distribution defines extensive erosional areas as well as areas of sediment transport within a region influenced by strong shelf currents. Shelf currents and specifically the Alaska Coastal Current has an affect over a 60 km wide area of **the sea floor parallel to shore**. **Within** this area at least 4 major textural-biological **facies** are identified: 1) an outer sand, 2) outer gravel, 3) coastal current sand, and 4) an inner gravel (figure 39).

#### Outer **sand facies**

The outer **sand facies** occupies the western flank of the Barrow Sea Valley. The sea floor is flat in the southern region changing to a gently eastward slope to the north. The depths range from 42 to over 48 m. An extensive gravel field, the outer gravel **facies**, and **overconsolidated** mud in the northern part of the sea valley bounds most of the eastern flank of the outer **sand facies**.

From monographs and box cores, gravel patches are identified in this **facies** (figure 39). Gravel is present in the 4 box cores and contributes up to 15 percent of the sediment (sample 22, Appendix A). The texture ranges from slightly gravelly sand to gravelly mud. **Micaceous** sand is abundant in all cores.

Internally the box cores exhibit abundant **bioturbation** with scattered pebbles, crude pebble bedding (core 22) or shell layers (core 21) (figure 40).

The fauna of the outer **sand facies** is dominated by bivalves and gastropod, similar to the composition of the outer shelf fauna (figure 41, Appendix C). Barnacles, bryzoans, **echinoids** and worm tubes are a minor component of the biological community. The greatest numbers of barnacles occurred in the core containing the highest gravel content (core 22).

#### Outer gravel **facies**

The outer gravel **facies** occurs inshore from the outer **sand facies**. It represents a **surficial** gravel-shell lag deposit that is at **least 165 km long**. The width varies from 11 km at the south to 27 km at its maximum width. The depths of the deposit range from 40 m west of Icy Cape to over 60 m in the north. The eastern flank of this **facies** southwest of **Point Franklin** is as shallow as 28 m. The thickness of the gravel varies and can be as thin as 4 cm where it **overlies over-consolidated mud (cores 3, 4, 20, and 25)** or as thick as 8 to 10 cm over gravelly sand. **Within the sea valley over-consolidated mud outcrops at the northwest part of this facies (figure 39)**. **Based on box cores, over-consolidated mud underlies the northern gravel deposits south to near Wainwright.**




Figure 38. Sediment components from channel samples of box cores in the northeast part of the Chukchi Sea.




Figure 39. Major surficial sediment facies observed in the northeast part of the Chukchi Sea. The three outer facies contain distinctive fauna dominated by bivalves and gastropod in the Outer Sand; barnacles, bryzoans and brachiopods in the Outer Gravel; and echinoids in the Coastal Current Sand. The greatest faunal abundance and diversity occurs in the Outer Gravel facies.






Figure 40. Outer Sand facies box cores. A) Radiograph of core 11 (42.5 m) containing scattered gravel and shells as well as abundant bioturbation; B) radiograph of core 22 (42.1 m) containing abundant gravel with crude bedding; C) photo of core 10 (42.9 m) which contains bioturbated sand with a few scattered pebbles; D) photo of core 21 (47.7 m) containing a shell lag, scattered pebbles and abundant bioturbation. See figure 38 for core locations. The cores are 19 cm wide.



In this facies monographs record either a scattered mottled pattern or distinctive dark patches (figure 42) and box cores confirm the presence of gravel. No gravel bedforms have been identified within this facies.

The gravel content of the box cores ranges from 9.4 to 31.7 percent (Appendix A, figure 38). Of the 10 box cores taken in this facies; 7 are classified texturally as gravelly sand, 3 as gravelly muddy sand and 1 as muddy sandy gravel. Gravel is present in all cores. The gravel clasts range in size up to 24 cm, however, most clasts are less than 10 cm. The clast composition also varies and consists of igneous, sandstone, siltstone and dolomite. Red granite clasts are found in most cores. The gravel clasts vary from well-rounded to angular.

Box cores show both the sea floor surface and the distribution of the clasts, fauna, and internal bedding. The sediment surface can vary in concentrations of shells, clasts, and animals (figures 43a, 44a, 45a). Internally, however, the cores and radiographs show a gravel-shell lag, up to 10 cm thick, in the upper part of the cores (figures 43, 44, 45, 46). Gravel can also be scattered throughout the core sediment. Coarse-grained lag deposits may also be present at the base of some samples. Bedding is usually indistinct. Bioturbation, however, is abundant with preserved burrow structures.

The benthic fauna can be exceedingly rich especially towards the north. The fauna consists of; sponges, barnacles, bryzoans, brittle stars, urchins, brachiopods, sea cucumbers, hermit crabs, shrimp, isopods, and tube worms. Large polychaete worms are also present in some cores (figure 45a). The death assemblage contains in order of decreasing abundance; barnacles, bivalves, gastropod, bryzoans, brachiopods, echinoids, and chiton plates (figure 41, Appendix C). Barnacles, many stained and oxidized, are the most abundant component within the cores. Living barnacles, however, are not common within the samples. The widespread gravel substrate provides a habitat for the extensive epifauna that exists within this facies.

#### Coastal current sand facies

The coastal current sand facies lies to the east of the outer gravel facies. It forms a northeast trending textural band from Icy Cape to north of Point Franklin. Inshore, northeast of Icy Cape, the facies is bounded by the inner gravel facies. North of Wainwright the coastal current sand facies merges with the nearshore sand. The textural band ranges in width from 20 km to less than 4 km (figure 39). The depths vary from 40 m to less than 20 m. This facies is distinct in that it contains abundant echinoids, records active northward sediment transport represented by sand wave fields, and is a major feeding ground for Gray whales.




Figure 42. A) Transition between the Outer Gravel facies (right side of sonograph) and sandwaves in the Coastal Current Sand facies directly west of Wainwright. The depth is 28.5 m. B) Outer Gravel facies west of Point Franklin. The gravel sheet (left side of sonograph) changes to scattered gravel deposits locally covered by sand.

A



B




Figure 43. Outer Gravel facies box core 30 (40.2 m). A) photograph of core top which contains scattered pebbles and cobbles as well as branching **bryzoans**. **Tunicates** cover some of the cobbles here. B) **Peel** of core showing the upper erosional gravel-shell lag deposit and scattered gravel in the lower part of the core. The box core is 31 cm in maximum length; the peel is 19 cm **wide**. See figure 38 for core location.




Figure 44. Outer Gravel facies box core 25 (40.2 m). A) Photograph of top of core showing the surficial gravel lag and biology. Sponges, bryzoans, urchins, and barnacles are common. B) Core peel showing the upper gravel-shell lag and bioturbated sediment. Most biogenic fragments in core are barnacles. The box core is 31 cm in length; the peel is 19 cm wide. See figure 38 for location.




Figure 45. Outer Gravel facies box core 31 (42.0 m). A) Photograph of top of core showing the surface gravel-shell lag with a large worm on right side of core. B) Core peel showing the gravel-shell lag and bioturbated sediments. C) X-ray of core peel showing the gravel distribution and crude bedding.




Figure 46. Outer Gravel facies. A) Core 2 (45.3 m) peel showing **bioturbated** sediment and upper gravel-shell lag. B) X-ray of core peel showing the **surficial** gravel concentration. C) Core 24 (36.6 m) peel contains scattered shells and cobbles. D) Core 1 (43.5 m) with a **surficial** gravel-shell lag. The cores are 19 cm wide.

The contact with the **outer gravel facies** can be abrupt where large-scale sand waves exist (figure 42a) or can be apparently gradational. Sandwave fields occur within this **facies** off Icy Cape, **Wainwright**, and Point Franklin (figures 47, 48). Where large **bedforms** are not evident on the monographs small-scale **bedforms** (height less than 5 cm) are expected as ripples were observed on the surface of some box cores. The large-scale **bedforms** are found to depths of 39 m off Icy Cape, between depths of 23 and 38 m west of **Wainwright**, and 18 to 30 m off Point Franklin. Most **bedforms** contain northward facing slip faces indicating northward **bedform** migration and sediment transport. The sand waves represent straight- to sinuous-crested **bedforms** ranging in height from 0.5 to 1.3 m (figures 47, 48).

The sand content of the box cores varies from 82 to 98 percent. The texture classification for samples in this **facies** ranges from slightly gravelly muddy sand, to gravelly muddy sand, to gravelly sand, to sand (figure 38, Appendix A). The high gravel content in core 29, which is located near the western boundary of the coastal current sand **facies**, may reflect a transition zone between the **facies** or may represent lateral shifting of the **facies** because of currents or sediment supply.

All box cores contain abundant **bioturbation**, scattered invertebrates, and exhibit some crude bedding (figure 49). **Echinoids** are abundant both on the sea floor surface, as observed on the top of cores 7 and 8, and are **also** abundant within the cores (figure 49d, Appendix C).


Living **echinoids** in this **facies** range in size from 2 mm up to 4 cm. The sea floor surface where box core 7 and 8 were taken contain, respectively, 103 and 110 echinoids per square meter. The echinoid is identified as **Echinarachnius parma** and has been reported from southwest of Icy Cape at depths of 31 m (**Naidu and Sharma, 1970**). Bivalves, barnacles, **echinoids**, gastropod, and polychaete tubes (**Pectinara**) form the major invertebrate members of this community.

The sea bed contains abundant pits that in places cover most of the sea floor. The pits are attributed to **benthic** feeding by Gray whales (**Eschrichtius robustus**) and will be discussed in a following section.

### **Inner gravel facies**

The inner gravel **facies** occupies the area east of the coastal current sand **facies** from northeast of Icy Cape to near **Wainwright**. The contact with the coastal current sand **facies** is gradational. The gravel band is over 70 km long and is up to 28 km wide. The depths range from approximately 30 m to less than 5 m nearshore. Most of the gravel is found at depths less than 25 m.

In contrast with the outer gravel **facies**, gravel **bedforms**



433

Figure 47. A) Coastal Current Sand facies containing sandwaves at 28 m depth on northwest part of Blossom Shoals off Icy Cape. The sandwaves range up to 0.9 m in height. B) Straight-crested sandwaves at 23 m depth west of Wainwright. The sandwaves range in height from 1.0 to 1.3 m and have a wave length of approximately 38 m.




Figure 48. Coastal Current Sand facies containing northward migrating sandwaves at 28 to 30 m depth off Point Franklin. The sandwaves are approximately 0.5 m high.




Figure 49. Coastal Current Sand facies. A) Core 29 (35.0 m) taken near the seaward boundary with the Outer Gravel facies. The peel shows a surficial gravel-shell lag overlying bioturbated sand. B) Core 7 (28.7 m) core peel contains bioturbated sand with scattered shells and echinoid fragments. C) Core 8 (24.6 m) photograph of top of core showing the distribution and abundance of echinoids. D) Peel of core 8 which contains bioturbated sand with scattered echinoid and shell fragments. The core peels are 19 cm wide; the box core is 31 cm in length.

are observed on monographs. Gravel sheets, gravel-sand patches, and shore-normal symmetrical gravel **bedforms** characterize the sea floor surface in this **facies**. Irregular shore-parallel gravel-sand patches **occur offshore changing to shore-normal gravel bedforms near shore** (Phillips and Reiss, 1984).

Limited box core data record **bioturbated** gravel, sand and mud (figure 50). The gravel consists of rounded to angular **clasts** of sandstone, siltstone, coal as well as black siliceous pebbles.

The core fauna is somewhat restricted (based on limited samples) and consists of barnacles, bivalves and gastropod (Appendix C).

#### Discussion

The inner shelf at the head of the Barrow Sea Valley is greatly influenced by two processes; 1) the northeastward flowing Alaska Coastal Current, and 2) storm-generated currents. The distribution of the **surficial** sediments in this region is the result of sediment transport and on-going erosion of the sea bed by these currents (figure 39).

The outer sand **facies** represents an area of thin sediment cover (less than 3 m thick), contains erosional gravel patches, with **bioturbation** a dominant process. Local storm-generated currents and shelf currents flowing into as well as up the Barrow Sea Valley (Garrison and Becker, 1976; Mountain and others, 1976) erode the sediment.

Within the outer gravel and coastal current sand **facies** the Alaska Coastal Current and shelf currents flowing into the Barrow Sea Valley erode and transport sediment to the north. The outer gravel **facies** represents an erosional **surficial** gravel-shell lag deposit produced by the northward **flowing currents**. **It also overlies areas of thin sediment cover over bedrock and grades laterally to the northeast into apparently erosional deposits within over-consolidated mud. Evidence** for erosion and currents is found in the over-consolidated mud where **fields** of linear, northeast-trending sediment furrows are abundant to depths of at least 80 m (figure 51a). Sediment furrows form in mud between velocities of 20 **cm/sec** for deep sea sediments to **>40cm/sec** for shelf environments (Flood, 1984). Velocities probably with this range most likely produced the sediment furrows in the head of the Barrow Sea Valley. This **facies** also records low sediment deposition with erosion apparently the major process.

The coastal current sand **facies** is an area of northward sediment transport. It forms the seaward part of a sediment circulation cell between Icy Cape and **Wainwright**. Sand **bedforms** cover a series of **arcuate** shoals off Icy Cape (Phillips and Reiss, 1984). These shoals migrate seaward where ice grounding and the Alaska Coastal Current erode the banks. Fields of northward migrating large-scale **bedforms** then form as a result of



Figure 50. Inner Gravel facies box core 5 (18.3 m). X-ray of core peel showing bioturbated gravel.




Figure 51. Inner shelf bottom current features. A) Linear furrows at depths of 69 m are erosional bedforms cut into mud. The dark streaks on the sonograph represent the base of the furrow and probably consist of gravel or shell debris. These furrows occupy most of the region of over-consolidated mud at the north end of the Outer Gravel facies (figure 38). B) Northeast-directed sediment "streaming" from ice gouges indicate bottom current direction in regions where sandwaves are not observed on monographs.

the coastal currents eroding the shoals. As the water deepens to the north the large-scale sandwaves change to rippled sand. Where the sea floor shallows and the coastal current impinges against the coast off **Wainwright** and Point Franklin, large-scale sandwave fields occur again. Northward flowing currents are still evident where large-scale **bedforms** are not observed on monographs. **Ice gouge** flanks may also contain northward streaming sediment which are similar to comet marks observed on other non-gouged **shelves** (figure 51b). Northward flowing currents form these features.

The inner gravel **facies** represents an area of erosion by storm-generated waves and currents as well as longshore sediment transport nearshore. The shore-normal symmetrical gravel waves, starting at depths of 10 to 15 m, reflect the direct effects of shoaling waves acting on the sea bed. At deeper depths both wave-generated currents as well as the northward flowing Alaska Coastal Current erode the sea bed producing the **surficial** gravel lags. Sediment erosion results in a thin sediment cover of 2 m or less. Nearshore, shore-parallel currents transport the finer sediment fractions towards **Icy Cape**.

#### BENTHIC MAMMAL FEEDING AREAS

**California** gray whales have been observed on the inner shelf for the past 3 summers in the region west of **Icy Cape** to north of Point Franklin. Gray whales are very abundant throughout this area as individuals and in pods, especially within the region of the Alaska Coastal Current. The gray whales feed on **benthic** amphipods and **isopods** in the Coastal Current Sand **facies**. Gray whales have been observed surfacing surrounded by sediment plumes indicating active benthic feeding. Locally scattered, rounded sea floor pits, attributed to gray whale benthic feeding, characterize parts of the sea floor in the Coastal Current Sand **facies**. The greatest sea floor disturbance is in the inner shelf west of **Wainwright** and north to Point Franklin (figure 52). Here, a variety of apparently freshly-produced and current modified feeding pits are observed (figure 53). Elliptical multiple feeding pits to wide elliptical oval pits characterize the sea bed (Type I and Type II feeding pits of Nelson and Johnson, 1984). The pits range in length from 1.0 to 3.8 m and in width from 1.0 to 2.5 m. Near **Wainwright** the depths where the sea floor pits have been observed vary from 23 to 34 m. A sandwave field bounds the landward flank of the feeding area.

Three box cores (cores 23a, b, c), taken in succession where sediment plumes were observed surrounding surfacing gray whales, record coarse pebbly sand in all cores. Abundant **amphipods** and some **isopods** were found in the cores.

The feeding traces also record varying sea floor modification of ice gouges (figure 54a). Fresh gouges did not contain feeding pits whereas some gouges contained scattered feeding traces both in the gouge troughs and on internal ridges within the gouges





Figure 52. Major location of gray whale feed traces along the eastern boundary of the Alaska Coastal Current. The boundary limits of the feeding areas are only approximate. Scattered sea floor pits are also observed south of Icy Cape between depths of 20 to 35 m as well as east of Point Franklin. Some of the scattered feeding pits may also represent walrus feeding traces.

A



B





Figure 53. Gray whale feeding traces west of Wainwright, Chukchi Sea. A) Rounded and elliptical to current-modified feeding traces. B) Current-modified whale feeding traces at 24 m depth. A sandwave field bounds the feeding area (sandwaves start off right side of photo). Both monographs are 500 Khz records.

A



B




Figure 54. A) Gray whale feeding traces within an ice gouge off Wainwright. B) Round to elongate scattered feeding traces in coarse sand and pebbles west of Point Franklin. Monographs are 500 Khz(A) and 100 Khz (B) records.

while other gouges were completely pitted. This may suggest that some of the intensely **pitted** ice gouges are over one season old.

North of Point Franklin adjacent to a sandwave field scattered rounded pits in coarse pebbly sand are also observed in the monographs (figure **54b**). These pits may represent either whale feeding traces in a coarser sediment type than found in the southern feeding area or it may represent possible walrus feeding traces. South of **Icy Cape** within **the** area dominated by the Alaska Coastal Current scattered sea floor pits are also observed (**figure 55a**). These pits may represent areas where gray whales **are "test"** feeding or they may represent an area of active bottom currents filling in the feeding pits resulting in a low density of feeding traces.

**Benthic** feeding traces of unknown origin are also observed as scattered patches on the outer shelf (figure **55b**). These feeding traces are represented by linear to curved pits and they occur adjacent to gravel patches on the sea bed. These feeding traces may be produced by walrus.


Walrus feeding traces are found in the northeast **Chukchi Sea** to depths of at least 53 m. Long narrow linear furrows, gently curved furrows, or S-shaped to irregular sea bed furrows characterize the feeding traces. The sea floor furrows are less than 1 m wide and range in length from 10 m to 40 m. The furrows can be solitary features or they may be found as groups **of** linear parallel traces. The feeding traces generally parallel the Alaska Coastal Current suggesting the walrus use the currents during feeding. The greatest concentration of these feeding traces were preserved within the Outer **Gravel Facies**.

The reconnaissance surveys on the **Chukchi Sea** show that gray whale and walrus feeding areas do exist on and adjacent to the region bounded by the Alaska Coastal Current. Other feeding areas can be expected on the outer shelf **and on the west side of** the Barrow Sea Valley adjacent to **Hanna Shoal**. The **areal** distribution of the feeding areas as well as the total sea floor **utilitized** by mammals in feeding in the northern **Chukchi Sea** is unknown.

## ICE GOUGING

Sea ice plays an active role in the reworking and transport of sediments on the Chukchi Sea shelf. The seasonal ice canopy can be divided into three distinct zones. Along the coast and extending from promontory to promontory is the quasi-stable, often uniform ice of the fast ice zone. Immediately seaward of this zone, pressure and shear ridges develop in response to the interaction between the stationary fast ice and moving ice further offshore. The ice ridges in this zone are often grounded. Further offshore pack ice and ice ridges are essentially free to drift guided by winds and currents. Unequal pressures within the yearly ice pack give rise to numerous

A



B




Figure 55. A) Scattered feeding traces within an area dominated by the Alaska Coastal current south of Icy Cape. B) Outer shelf feeding traces of unknown origin (possibly walrus) at depth of 48 m. This type of feeding trace commonly occurs adjacent to gravel patches. Monographs are 100 Khz records.

pressure ridges of varying heights. Ice keels extend **to** varying depths beneath the shear and pressure ridges in the ice canopy. Ice keels to 50 m have been observed from limited data sets in the Arctic Basin to the north and even deeper keels have been statistically predicted. When keels of sufficient draft to ground are incorporated in a moving **ice** canopy the sea floor sediment is disrupted and bulldozed forming linear plow marks or gouges.

#### TERMINOLOGY

**An ice gouge** is defined as a single sea floor groove or plow mark generated by one protrusion of an ice keel. A single ice keel having more than one protrusion may create many parallel gouges. This results in a **multiplet** or set of closely spaced gouges generated by the same ice keel. Gouge depth is the measured depth of a gouge below the surrounding sea floor. This measurement does not necessarily indicate the depth of ice incision as sediment may have **infil**led the gouge since its inception. Ridges usually flank one or both sides of a gouge and represent the plowed debris from the gouge event. Gouge relief refers to the vertical distance from the floor of the gouge to the crest of the ridge. The gouge orientation refers to the trend of linear gouges and, as used here, is the dominant trend within our observational segment on the **sonograph**. Orientation trends of gouge **lineations** do not necessarily imply the direction of **motion** of ice which created the gouge.

#### RESULTS

A **summary of ice gouge trends in the Chukchi Sea record a dominant northeast-southwest orientation (figure 56)**. Tomi 1 (1978) also notes local dominant ice gouge trends that parallel the bathymetric contours which **agrees with our data**. The ice gouge trends in the **Chukchi** Sea apparently reflect the effects of shelf currents, storms and pack ice movement. The **northwest-southeast** ice gouge trend (**C**, figure 56) may reflect ice movement by shelf currents as a southeast-directed current is reported for area "C" (figure 8) (Coachman and others, 1975). Likewise, the shore-parallel ice gouge trends along the eastern part of the **Chukchi** Sea reflect ice movements by the Alaska Coastal Current. **Ice** generally moves 45 degrees to the right of the wind (Thorndike and Colony, 1982). The winds in the **Chukchi** Sea region are from the northeast with summer storms generally from the southwest or west which **should** move ice to the northwest and to the east respectively. The summer storms may account for the onshore trends of gouges off Cape **Lisburne** (G, figure 56) and on the east side of the Barrow Sea Valley (H, figure 56). However, the dominant northeast-southwest ice gouge trend on the **Chukchi** Sea **shelf must reflect the pack ice movement to the south during the fall as well as the effects** of shore parallel shelf currents. Whereas, the dominant pack ice movement to the west and northwest (Stringer, 1978, 1982) apparently does not readily affect the sea floor on the outer shelf.




Figure 56. Rose diagram of ice gouge orientations in the northeast Chukchi Sea. H) Ice gouge trends on the east side of the Barrow Sea Valley. 1) Ice gouge trends on the west side of the Barrow Sea Valley.

On the outer shelf (northwest part) of the **Chukchi** Sea the maximum ice gouge incision depth is 1.3 m (table 1). Most of the ice gouges are shallow, 0.3 m or less in depth below the sea floor. The "shallow" gouges may represent "older" gouge events that are now being filled with sediment or they may also reflect the thin sediment cover over bedrock (ice is not cutting into bedrock).

The maximum ice gouge density for lines 25, 26, 27, and 44 [figure 3) are 5 gouges per km with these values only found on gravel-covered bathymetric highs. The adjacent low regions average 1 gouge per km on **line 44**, **1 gouge per 3 km on line 27**, 1 gouge per 4 km on line 26, whereas, line 45 varies from 1 gouge per 3 km **to** 1 gouge per 7 km. The ice gouge abundance rapidly decreases with increasing depth (table 1).

On the inner shelf (northeast part of the **Chukchi** Sea) within and adjacent to the Barrow Sea Valley ice gouging is identified to 58 m depth. The maximum ice gouge incision depth, 2.9 m with 3.9 m ice gouge relief, occurs on line 23 (figure 4) at the head of the Barrow Sea Valley. The water depth is 45 m where the maximum ice gouge incision is located. Multiple, parallel ice gouges formed by large ice sheets or ridges account for the abundance of shallow, 0.3 m depth or less, bottom disturbances in shallow water areas (24 to 34 m water depth) (table 2). The ice gouges at deeper depths tend to represent solitary grounding events, especially within the deeper parts of the sea valley.

The maximum ice gouge density within the Barrow Sea Valley ranges from 19 gouges per km at 44 to 46 m depth (**line 24**, outer sand **facies**) on the east flank of the sea valley, to 24 gouges per km at 28 m depth (line 17, inner sand **facies**) directly west of Point Franklin. Low ice gouge densities, 1 gouge in 10 km (35 m depth) to 1 gouge per km (24 to 29 m depth) are recorded on the shoreward part of the outer gravel **facies** and in the coastal current sand facies respectively. These low gouge densities are apparently the result of active currents filling in the gouge traces within these two **facies** as at deeper depths and at shallow depths ice gouging ranges from 14 per km to 12 per km respectively.

In the southern part of the **Chukchi** Sea, north of Cape **Lisburne** (line 4), ice gouge incision depths are also shallow with most gouges 0.3 m or less. The gouge densities are greatest on the shoreward regions ranging up to 6 gouges per km between 24 to 28 m depth.

## DISCUSSION

Although ice gouging is pervasive on the **Chukchi** shelf, but away from topographic highs and the nearshore slopes, gouging is

52	5	4		1										
50	10	23	1	1			2		1		1			
48	39	36			2	2								
46	29	102	1	2		1	1							1
44	20	132		1										
42	15	65		6			1			1				
40	32	196	43	1	3		1		1					1
38														
	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	
	<b>Ice gouge depth (m)</b>													

Table 1. Maximum ice gouge incision depth vs. water depth for the northwest Chukchi Sea. Numbers in boxes represent number of gouges in class. Data from lines 25, 26, 27, 44, 45 and 46 (see Figure 3 for trackline locations). Most of the ice gouge incisions are shallow, 0.3 m or less below the sea floor; however, the shallow gouges may represent "older" gouges that are filling with sediment. The ice gouge abundance also decreases with increasing depth with most of the gouges found between 38 to 44 m on gravel covered bathymetric highs.




Table 2. Maximum ice gouge incision depths vs. water depth for the **Barrow Sea Valley** region. Numbers in boxes represent number of gouges in class. Data obtained from **tracklines** in figure 4. The maximum ice gouge incision depth is 2.9 m at 45 m water depth near the center of the Barrow Sea Valley. Most of the ice gouges are shallow, 0.3 m or less in depth below the sea floor. Multiple ice gouge incisions are common to 32-34 m water depth; at deeper depths the ice gouges are usually solitary events.

rare and gouge depths are shallow. Furthermore, in the deep water areas gouge relief is commonly subdued indicating that waves, currents and biological activity are perhaps the dominant surface reworking processes, since gouging is less frequent.

The highest gouge intensities (most numerous and deepest) are found on the east **facing** slopes of bathymetric highs and along the steeper slopes of the coastal margin. The bathymetric highs and inshore areas comprise a relatively small portion of the shelf area yet are subject **to** higher gouge intensities. Gouging occurs here because a greater number of ice keels exist with shallower draft which interact with the shallow sea floor. The broad flat areas, depths of 40 to 50 m, provide the rarer deep draft **ice** a large surface to gouge.

Over the broad and generally **flat** regions of the study area gouging increases northward. This is because of the incursion of ice ridges and ice island fragments from the Arctic Basin to the north and to the greater period of ice cover. Conversely longer periods of open water in the southern parts of the study area allow greater sediment reworking by storm waves and currents. - Additionally the shoals and broad expanse of the northern shelf filter out southward moving ice of sufficient draft to gouge the sea floor. This implies that much of the gouging in the study area may be the result of locally generated ice ridges. From studies in the Beaufort Sea we know that gouges from locally generated **ice** ridges are shallow due to the general incompetence of newly created ridges and their keels.

Ice gouging is a process that is constantly reworking the surface sediment of the **Chukchi** Sea shelf. However, currents, waves, and biological processes are also active in reworking the this **surficial** sediment layer at a higher rate in the deeper and southern portion of the study area masking the effects of gouging. Inshore of about 30 m water depth and on the flanks and the crests of bathymetric highs ice gouging dominates the **surficial** sediment processes except in areas where the Alaska Coastal Current actively impinges on the sea floor.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1) A thin sediment cover, less than 4 to 5 m **thick**, overlies folded bedrock over much of the **Chukchi** Sea shelf.

2) Channel-fill deposits of unknown age occur **in** the northwest part of the **Chukchi** shelf. These channel deposits may represent possible hazard if they contain gas or permafrost. They may also represent a potential source of sand and gravel on the outer shelf.

3) The outer shelf surface sediment is composed of **micaceous** sandy mud **with** scattered **surficial** gravel on bathymetric highs.

4) The surface sediment in the southern part of the Barrow Sea Valley can be divided into 4 **faci** es, an outer sand, an outer gravel, a coastal current sand, and an inner gravel. Distinctive **benthic** biological communities inhabit these facies.

5) Storm-generated currents, shelf currents, and biological processes dominate on the outer shelf; storm-generated currents, the shore-parallel Alaska Coastal Current, and biological processes rework the eastern shelf regions.

6) Sand waves, gravel waves, gravel ribbons, extensive gravel sheet deposits, and linear erosional furrows are the common **bedforms** on the **Chukchi** shelf that indicate active bottom currents.

7) Gray whale feeding areas are identified within the coastal current sand **facies** off **Wainwright**; walrus feeding traces are identified in the outer gravel **facies** as well as on the outer shelf.

8) Ice gouging, to 58 m water depth, occurs throughout the **Chukchi** shelf, however, most of the gouges are shallow, less than 0.3 m **in** depth below the sea floor, and scattered. High ice gouge densities are identified on the northern shelf areas investigated and on the flanks of the Barrow Sea Valley.

## REFERENCES

- Barnes, P. W., 1970, Preliminary results of geologic studies in the eastern central **Chukchi** Sea, U. S. Coast Guard oceanographic rept., no. **50**, P87-110.
- Bird, K. J., and Andrews, J., 1979, Subsurface studies of the **Nanushuk** Group, North Slope, Alaska, 'in **Ahlbrandt, T. S.**, cd., Preliminary geologic, **petrologic, and paleontologic** results of the study of **Nanushuk** Group rocks north slope, Alaska, U. S. **Geol.** Survey circular 794, p. 32-41.
- Coachman, L. K., Aagaard, K., and **Tripp, R. B.**, 1975, Bering Strait-- the regional physical oceanography, Univ. Wash. Press, Seattle, 172 p.
- Creager, J. S., and **McManus, D. A.**, 1966, Geology of the **Chukchi** Sea, in **Wilimovsky, N. J.**, and Wolf, J. N., eds., **Environments** of the Cape Thompson region, Alaska, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Div. of Technical **Information**, p. 755-786.
- Creager, J. S.**, and **McManus, D. A.**, 1967, Geology of the floor of the Bering and **Chukchi** Seas--American studies, in Hopkins, D. M., cd., The Bering land bridge: Stanford Univ. **Press**, Stanford, **Calif.**, p. 7-31.
- Fleming, R. H., and Heggarty, D., 1966, Oceanography of the southeastern **Chukchi** Sea, in **Wilimovsky, N. J.**, and Wolf, J. N., eds., Environment **of the Cape Tompson** region, Alaska, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Div. of Technical Information, p. **697-754**.
- Flood, R. D., 1984, Classification of sedimentary furrows and a model for furrow initiation and evolution, **Geol. Soc. of America Bull.**, v. 94, p. 630-639.
- Folk, R. L., 1974, Petrology of sedimentary rocks, **Hemphill** Publishing Co., Austin, Texas, 182 p.
- Garrison, G. R., and Becker, P., 1976, The Barrow submarine canyon: a drain for the **Chukchi** Sea, Jour. of Geophysical Res., v. 81, p. 4445-4453.
- Grantz, A., **Dinter, D. A.**, **Hill, E. R.**, Hunter, **R. E.**, May, **S. D.**, **McMullin, R. H.**, and Phillips, R. L., 1982, Geologic framework, hydrocarbon potential, and environmental conditions for exploration and development of proposed oil and gas lease sale 85 in the central and northern **Chukchi** Sea, U. S. **Geol.** Survey Open-file rept. 82-1053, 84 p.
- Hill, E. R., Grantz, A., May, S. D., and Smith, M., 1984, Bathymetric map of the **Chukchi** Sea, Miscellaneous

investigation series map I-1182-D.

- Hufford, G. L., 1977, Northeast **Chukchi** Sea coastal currents, *Geophys. Res. Letters*, v. 4, no. 10, p. 457-460.
- Lewbel, G. S., 1984, Environmental hazards to petroleum industry development, *in* Truett, J. C., ed, Proceedings of synthesis meeting: **The Barrow** Arch environment and planned offshore oil and gas development, **NOAA/OCSEAP**, p. 31-46.
- Lewbel, G. S., and Gallaway, B. J., 1984, Transport and fate of spilled oil, *in* Truett, J. C., ed, Proceedings of synthesis meeting: **The Barrow** Arch environment and planned offshore oil and gas development, **NOAA/OCSEAP**, p. 7-29.
- McManus, D. A., Creager, J. S., Echols, R. J., and Holmes, M. L., 1983, The Holocene transgression of the flank of **Beringia: Chukchi** valley to **Chukchi** estuary to **Chukchi** Sea, *in* Masters, P. M., and Flemming, N. C., eds., **Quaternary coastlines and marine archaeology: towards the prehistory of land bridges and continental shelves**, Academic Press, p. 365-388.
- Miller, M. C., McCave, I. N., and Komar, P. D., 1977, Threshold of sediment motion under unidirectional currents, *Sedimentology*, v. 24, p. 507-525.
- Molenaar, C. M., 1985, Subsurface correlations and **depositional** history of the **Nanushuk** Group and related strata, North Slope, Alaska, *in* Huffman, A. C., cd., **Geology of the Nanushuk Group and related rocks**, North Slope, Alaska, U. S. Geol. Survey **Bull.** 1614, p. 37-59.
- Moore, D. G., 1964, Acoustic reflection reconnaissance of continental shelves: the Bering and **Chukchi** Seas, *in* Miller, R. I., cd., **Papers in Marine Geology**, Shepard **Commemorative** Volume, MacMillan press, New York, p. 319-362.
- Mountain, D. G., Coachman, L. K., and Aagaard, K., 1976, On the flow through Barrow Canyon, *Jour. Phys. Oceanography*, v. 6, p. 461-470.
- Naidu, A. S., and Sharma, G. D., 1970, Geological, biological, and chemical oceanography of the eastern central **Chukchi** Sea, U. S. Coast Guard oceanographic Rept., no. 50, p. 173-195.
- Nelson, C. H., and Johnson, K. R., 1984, Gray whales, tillers of the sea floor, *in* Clarke, S. H., cd., **Highlights in Marine Geology**, U. S. **Geol.** Survey circular 938, p. 99-107.
- Paquette, R. G., and Bourke, R. H., 1974, Observations on the coastal current of Arctic Alaska, **Jour. Marine Res.**, v. 32, no. 2, p. 195-207.

- Phillips, R. L., and Reiss, T. E., Kempema, E., and Reimnitz, E., 1982, Marine geologic investigations, **Wainwright** to Skull Cliff, northeast **Chukchi** Sea, U. S. **Geol.** Survey Open-file rept. 84-108, 33 p.
- Phillips, R. L., and Reiss, T. E., 1984, **Nearshore** marine geologic investigations, Icy Cape to **Wainwright**, northeast **Chukchi** Sea, U. S. **Geol.** Survey Open-file rept. 85-50, 22 p.
- Sharma**, G. D., 1979, The Alaska **shelf: hydrographic**, sedimentary and **geochemical** environment, **Springer-Verlag**, New York.
- Solomon, H., and Ahlmas, K., 1980, Ice spirals off Barrow as seen by satellite, **Arctic**, v. 33, no. 1, p. 184-188.
- Stringer, W. J., 1978, Morphology of **Beaufort, Chukchi**, and **Bering Seas nearshore** ice conditions by means of satellite and aerial remote sensing, v. 1, **Geophy. Inst. Univ. of Alaska**, Fairbanks, 34 p.
- Stringer, W. J., 1982, Width and persistence of the **Chukchi Polynya**, NOAA/OCSEAP Rept., 17 p.
- Thorn dike, A. S., and Colony, **R.**, 1982, Sea ice motion in response to geostrophic winds, **Jour. Geophy. Res.**, v. 87, no. C8, p. 5845-5852.
- Toimil**, L. J., 1978, Ice gouge **microrelief** on the floor of the eastern **Chukchi** Sea, Alaska: a reconnaissance survey, U. S. **Geol.** Survey Open-file Rept., 94 p.
- Wilson. J. B., 1979, **Biogenic** carbonate sediments on the Scottish continental shelf and on **Rockall** Bank, **Marine Geol.**, v. 33, p. **M85-M93**.
- Wiseman**, W. J., Jr., and Rouse, L. J., Jr., 1980, A coastal jet in the **Chukchi** Sea, **Arctic**, v. 33, no. 1, p. 21-29.

## APPENDICES

## Appendix A

1984 Chukchi Sea Samples							
Sample #	Sample Type	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Depth (m)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Mud (%)
01	Box Core	70.79330	161.12X33	43.5	12.1	75.0	12.9
02	Box Core	70.73330	160.84670	45.3	29.4	66.3	4.3
03	Box Core	70.70830	160.655a)	43.9	9.4	69.3	21.3
04	Box Core	70.64170	160.40170	22.3	20.5	74.5	5.0
05	Box core	70.52670	160.57330	18.3	24.2	63.6	12.1
06	Box Core	70.64670	161.41000	37.0	7.6	82.6	9.7
07	Box Core	70.55170	161.64830	28.7	2.0	94.7	3.2
08	Box core	70.54330	161.78330	24.6	0.8	98.4	0.8
09	Box Core	70.65830	162.163X)	39.2	21.1	74.5	4.4
10	Box Core	70.77170	162.54330	42.9	2.2	89.7	8.0
11	Box Core	70.88330	162.86170	42.5	1.8	74.3	23.9
12	Box Core	70.93330	166.34000	42.1	---	36.0	64.0
13	Box Core	70.95500	166.94824	45.5	---	29.2	70.8
14	Box Core	70.97320	167.39670	44.8	----	42.4	57.6
15	Box Core	70.98000	167.73670	44.4	22.9	40.9	36.1
16	Box Core	70.47170	166.95330	49.5	0.5	57.1	42.4
17	Box Oore	70.41000	166.475a)	43.2	3.5	53.2	43.3
18	Box Core	70.94500	159.35330	39.2	31.7	60.3	7.9
19	Box Core	70.97500	159.37170	52.2	ROCK	---	--
20	Box Core	70.96000	159.42670	49.4	15.7	64.9	19.3
21	Box Core	71.02000	161.36330	47.7	1.7	88.9	9.4
22	Box Core	70.88330	161.75670	42.1	15.1	74.2	10.7
23 A	Box Core	70.72670	160.17500	23.7	3.8	95.3	0.9
23 B	Box core	70.69670	160.20830	23.7	2.9	96.8	0.3
23 c	Box Core	70.69330	160.20830	23.7	19.3	79.9	0.8
D24	Box Core	70.77670	160.09000	36.6	28.0	69.6	2.4
D25	Box Core	70.76000	160.33170	40.2	22.7	73.2	4.1
026	Box Core	70.71170	160.27000	29.3	23.4	74.6	1.9
027 START	Pipe Dredge	70.57000	160.98330	31.3	0.7	93.6	5.7
027 END		70.57000	160.99170				
028 START	Pipe Dredge	70.61330	160.93670	35.0	5.3	87.1	7.6
028 END		70.61500	160.93930				
029	Box Core	70.67920	161.26850	40.3	12.8	82.5	4.7
030	Box Core	70.73170	161.57500	40.2	14.3	77.5	8.2
031	Box Core	70.76000	161.70670	42.0	17.3	75.6	7.1
032	Box Core	71.28830	165.72670	41.2	0.3	27.4	72.3
033	Box Core	71.37330	166.12830	42.4	---	16.7	83.3
034	Box Core	71.40830	166.35330	43.0	1.0	15.9	83.0
035	Box Core	70.91500	168.46670	43.0	1.3	45.7	52.9
035G	Gravity Core	70.91500	168.46670	43.0			
036	Box Core	70.88000	167.78170	53.5	0.2	23.3	76.5
036G	Gravity Core	70.83000	167.78170	53.9			
037	Box Core	70.84000	167.10330	46.0	0.6	41.6	57.8
038	Box Core	69.57830	167.41170	45.7	6.3	48.9	44.8
039	Box Core	69.49330	167.06170	45.0	0.1	37.6	62.3
040	Box Core	68.82000	166.74500	40.5	0.5	30.2	69.3
041 START	Pipe Dredge	68.91830	166.56000	36.5			
041 END		68.92130	166.56050				
042 START	Pipe Dredge	69.10170	166.41500	31.1			
042 END		69.10170	166.42183				

## Appendix B

1981 Chukchi Sea Samples							
Sample #	Sample Type	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Depth (m)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Mud (%)
008	Grab	70.96104	158.98534	28.6	59.6	34.5	5.5
1982 Chukchi Sea Samples							
Sample #	Sample Type	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)	Depth (m)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Mud (%)
000	Grab	70.43645	161.31513	22.0	4.1	88.8	6.9
001	Grab	70.57261	160.88451	27.0	9.7	72.5	17.8
	Grab	70.30769	161.38040	6.7	43.5	55.6	0.8
	Grab	70.32925	161.44785				
005	Grab	70.36929	161.60620				
006	Grab	70.40710	161.77314	16.7	5.9	84.1	9.9
007	Grab	70.45207	161.96241	16.5	0.1	98.8	1.0
008	Grab	70.35409	161.75108	10.8	19.7	75.7	4.6
009	Grab	70.99737	158.54305	19.0	5.9	90.9	3.1
010	Grab	70.98577	158.38219	21.0	0.1	70.8	28.1
011	Grab	70.95229	158.28459	19.0	4.0	38.3	57.7
012	Grab	70.90994	158.21192	17.0	0.4	18.6	80.7
013	Grab	70.87287	158.19676	14.0	3.7	40.8	55.5
014	Grab	70.84760	158.17605	14.0	40.0	39.6	20.4
015	Grab	70.83480	158.23021				
016	Grab	70.98072	157.42988				
017	Grab	70.93045	157.61289				
018	Grab	70.93518	157.62725				
019	Grab	70.87266	157.87974				

## Appendix C

Biological Composition of Inner Shelf Samples											
Biological Environment	Sample #	Biological Specimen *								Depth (m)	
		B a	Bi	By	B r	Ec	Cp	Ga	P t		
Outer Sand Facies	84-10		99.5			0.2				0.2	42.0
	84-11		96.1					3.9			42.5
	8421	0.4	98.2		0.2			1.2			47.7
	8422	12.6	78.5					8.9			42.1
Outer Gravel Facies	84-1	54.9	36.7	4.4	2.3			1.7			43.5
	84-2	56.4	34.5	0.9	1.2	0.1	0.1	6.8			45.3
	843	61.8	25.0	0.5	9.5	1.7		1.5			43.9
	84-9	50.2	42.1			0.6		6.7			39.2
	84-18	71.3	25.6	0.1	2.5	0.1		0.4			39.2
	84-20	52.0	36.7	9.3	1.3	0.1		0.6			49.4
	84-24	10.8	86.0	2.9				0.3			36.6
	84-25	77.7	16.0	0.2	3.9	0.6	0.1	1.5			40.2
	84-26	19.6	78.3	0.1	0.1	1.2		0.7			29.3
	84-30	55.5	34.5	7.0	0.9			2.1			40.2
	84-31	60.0	33.8	3.7	0.3			2.2			42.0
81-8	43.9	50.5					5.5			28.6	
Coastal Current Sand Facies	84-6	26.4	72.3	1.1					0.2		37.0
	84-7	0.5	90.7			6.0		2.7	0.1		28.7
	84-8	3.5	85.2			8.3		3.0			24.6
	84-23	15.5	68.6		0.3	11.6		1.6	2.5		23.7
	84-28	1.9	69.9	1.3		14.7		10.9	1.9		31.3
	84-29	36.2	45.7	2.6	11.4	0.3		3.7			35.0
Inner Gravel Facies	84-4	70.8	26.9	0.4				1.9			22.3
	84-5	24.9	69.6					5.5			18.3

Biological Composition of Outer Shelf Samples											
Biological Environment	Sample #	Biological Specimen *								Depth (m)	
		Ba	Bi	By	Br	Ec	CR	Ga	Pt		
Outer Shelf Facies	84-34		89.1					10.9			43.0
	84-36		100.0								53.5
	84-37		89.9					10.1			46.0
	84-39		28.5					71.5			45.0
Cape Lisburne	84-42	6.4	75.1		0.2			18.3			31.1

\* Biological specimens: Percentage (by weight) of biological fraction of sediment sample. Codes used are as follows:

- Ba - Barnacles
- Bi - Bivalves
- By - Bryozoans
- Br - Brachiopods
- Ec - Echinoids
- Cp - Chitons
- Ga - Gastropod
- Pt - Polychaete (*Pectinaria* sp.) tubes

## APPENDIX D

### BOX CORE STATIONS 32-33 IN RELATION TO HIGH-RESOLUTION SEISMIC PROFILES

High-resolution reflection seismic profiles on line 44 on the northwest part of the **Chukchi** Sea where box cores 32 and 33 obtained show different acoustic signatures. Where box core 32 obtained the sub-bottom reflectors show well-defined parallel strata cut by a **fluvial** channel deposit (figure 1). **In contrast**, where box core 33 taken **continuous** reflectors only **occur in the upper part of the section with apparently acoustic impenetrable zones throughout the lower part of the section (figure 2)**. The acoustic "turbid" zone may possible be due to gas within the sediment as high methane values were obtained in box core 33 (see following report). However, the acoustic "turbid" zone may be caused by other physical features as uniform sediment texture or lack of bedding or textural contrasts.




Figure 1. High-resolution seismic profile on line 44 where box core 32 was obtained. See figure 3 for track line location and figure 5 for box core location. Bedrock consists of parallel and gently inclined strata cut by a **fluvial** channel deposit. Box core 32 taken where seismic record ends on right.




Figure 2. High-resolution seismic profile on line 44 where box core 33 was obtained. Parallel reflectors are present only in the upper part of section. The lower acoustic "turbid" zone may be due to gas-charged sediment as high values of methane were found in box core 33. Box core 33 taken where seismic record ends on right.